



FILED

08-24-07

08:40 AM

EXHIBIT A

Wendel Brunner, M.D. Letter of 5/1/2007

WILLIAM B. WALKER, M.D.
HEALTH SERVICES DIRECTOR

WENDEL BRUNNER, M.D.
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH



**CONTRA COSTA
PUBLIC HEALTH**
597 CENTER AVENUE, SUITE 200
MARTINEZ, CALIFORNIA 94553
PH (925) 313-6712
FAX (925) 313-6721
WBRUNNER@HSD.CCCOUNTY.US

May 1, 2007

Judge Regina DeAngelis
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Regarding: Golden State Water Company Application Number 07-01-010

Dear Judge DeAngelis:

I am writing to oppose the rate hike requested by Golden State Water Company for their Bay Point customers. Bay Point, one of the lowest income communities in Contra Costa, already pays the highest rate for water service in Contra Costa, yet is provided with the poorest quality water in East County. There can be no legitimate business reason to grant this rate hike.

Bay Point is one of only two communities in Contra Costa County that receives drinking water from a private company. The Contra Costa Water District now provides treated drinking water to all other East County residents, or provides raw water to the municipal water systems. These public water systems not only have lower rates, but supply their customers with two health-protective features that Bay Point residents don't receive: Fluoridation and low-levels of potentially cancer-causing disinfection byproducts.

Dental problems, especially among children, are one of the most prevalent health issues identified among residents by our Bay Point Health Center. Drinking water fluoridation has long been proven to improve dental health, and all the other water systems in East County have been providing fluoridated drinking water for many years. The Golden State Water Company could fluoridate their drinking water, but has chosen not to do so.

Disinfection Byproducts (DBP's) including trihalomethanes (THM's) are the unintended consequence of the very important public health goal of disinfecting drinking water at the treatment plant. Long-term consumption of high levels of DBP's can increase the risk of getting certain cancers. The Golden State Water Company does meet (barely) the current regulatory limit for these chemicals. However, the levels of these DBP's can be reduced by utilizing modern disinfection techniques in the treatment plants. Although the Golden State Water Company does use the same source of raw water, all the other water systems in East County have a much lower level of THM's.



The attached table shows the current drinking water costs and trihalomethane levels for the East Contra Community Water systems. The table shows that the unfluoridated Golden State Water Company rates are from 17% to 203% higher than in the surrounding communities, while the average trihalomethane levels are elevated from 57% to more than 1400% compared to the surrounding water systems.

If the rate increase were to fund the needed improvements in the system, there could perhaps be some justification, although surrounding public systems manage to do a better job at less cost. However, there is no guarantee that the proposed rate increase will either improve the quality of the water, establish a water conservation program, or provide customer education. There can truly be no justification for this increase, and we urge you to reject it.

Sincerely,



Wendel Brunner, MD
Director of Public Health
Contra Costa Health Services

WB:jds
enc.

cc: Supervisor Federal Glover
Assemblyman Mark DeSaulnier
Senator Tom Torlakson
Walter Bishop, Contra Costa Water District
Bay Point Municipal Advisory Committee



Current Drinking Water Costs and Trihalomethane Levels For Communities in East Contra Costa County (a)

4/10/2007

	Bay Point (Golden State Water Company)	Contra Costa Water District	City of Antioch	City of Pittsburg	City of Martinez	Diablo Water District (Oakley)
Base Service charge (\$/month)	\$23.70/mo	\$17.33/mo	\$8.00/mo	\$16.40/mo	\$19.05/mo	\$8.95/mo
Unit charge (\$/hundred cubic feet)	\$3.37	\$2.46 – \$3.14	\$1.73 – \$2.07	\$2.25	\$2.65 – \$3.33	\$2.48
Total monthly charge (b)	\$60.77	\$44.39 – \$51.87	\$27.03 – \$30.77	\$41.15	\$48.20 – \$55.68	\$36.23
Average Trihalomethane Levels (c)	71.1	27.9	45.5	11.2	8.9	4.7

(a) Assuming approximately 270 gallons of water usage per day.

(b) Total charge per individual household within some of the areas will vary depending on the location of the home within the area. Charges represent the minimum charge for the amount of usage indicated, additional taxes or fees may raise the actual rates slightly.

(c) Trihalomethanes are a family of chemicals formed when a disinfectant such as chlorine reacts with naturally occurring organic matter in the water supply. The regulatory standard is 80 parts per billion. Long-term exposure to Trihalomethanes can potentially cause cancer.

(END OF EXHIBIT A)



■ Contra Costa Community Substance Abuse Services ■ Contra Costa Emergency Medical Services ■ Contra Costa Environmental Health ■ Contra Costa Health Plan ■
■ Contra Costa Hazardous Materials Programs ■ Contra Costa Mental Health ■ Contra Costa Public Health ■ Contra Costa Regional Medical Center ■ Contra Costa Health Centers ■

EXHIBIT B

**A Letter Regarding ADA's
"Interim Guidance on Fluoride Intake for
Infants and Young Children"
May 26, 2007**



EXHIBIT B

American Dental Association
www.ada.org

March 26, 2007

A Letter Regarding ADA's "Interim Guidance on Fluoride Intake for Infants and Young Children"

The American Dental Association continues to endorse community water fluoridation as a safe, beneficial and cost-effective public health measure for preventing dental decay. Studies prove water fluoridation reduces tooth decay by 20-40%, even in an era of widespread availability of fluoride from other sources, such as fluoride toothpaste. Community water fluoridation is the single most effective public health measure to prevent tooth decay and improve oral health over a lifetime for both children and adults.

The ADA is concerned that some opposed to community water fluoridation may be mischaracterizing its recent "Interim Guidance on Fluoride Intake for Infants and Young Children."

Opposition to fluoridation has existed since the initiation of the first community programs in 1945 and continues today, even with over 60 years of practical experience showing it to be safe and effective. While the arguments against fluoridation have remained relatively constant over the years, anti-fluoridationists use many different approaches that play on the fears of the public. Some opposed to fluoridation are mischaracterizing the ADA's "Interim Guidance on Fluoride Intake for Infants and Young Children" as a springboard in this continued effort.

As the leader of a science-based profession, the ADA continually reviews new information about fluoride's impact on health. On November 9, 2006, the ADA issued interim guidance on fluoride intake for infants and young children. Sound science was the driving force behind the release of the guidance. It was issued so parents, caregivers and health care professionals, who may be concerned about a possible increased risk of fluorosis, have some simple and effective advice on ways to reduce fluoride intake from reconstituted infant formula.

The appropriate amount of fluoride is essential to prevent tooth decay. But, like a lot of things in life, it is possible to get too much of a good thing. Fluoride intake above the recommended level for a child's age creates a risk for enamel fluorosis. Enamel fluorosis affects the way teeth look. In the vast majority of cases, fluorosis appears as barely noticeable faint white lines or streaks on tooth enamel and does not affect the function of the teeth. In fact, the effect is so subtle that, usually, only dental experts can spot tooth enamel fluorosis during a dental examination. It is important to know that even if infants are fed formula mixed with water containing fluoride, it doesn't mean they will develop enamel fluorosis. It means they might, that they are at increased risk. If parents are concerned about this possible increased risk, they may choose to use nonfluoridated water to reconstitute powdered or liquid concentrate infant formula.

The bottom line is that it is important to have fluoridated water. The interim guidance simply indicates that babies less than one year old need less fluoride than everyone else because they are so small. As part of its ongoing assessment, the ADA will convene workshops with government and other professional organizations involved in this issue to determine the best way to evaluate the scientific literature on this topic and formulate definitive recommendations on fluoride intake.

In November, 2006, the International Dental Federation (FDI), International Association for Dental Research (IADR) and the World Health Organization (WHO) convened a panel of experts on fluoride at WHO headquarters in Geneva, Switzerland. The international group of experts urged government, professional health organizations and other influential bodies to develop legislation, directives and programs to ensure access to fluoride for dental health in all countries. During the Global Consultation on "Oral Health through Fluoride," health experts expressed "their concern about growing disparities in dental health and the lack of progress in tackling the worldwide burden of tooth decay, particularly in disadvantaged populations." The 80 experts from 30 countries emphatically made clear that "prevention by using fluoride is the only realistic way of reducing this burden in populations."

The ADA reaffirms its support for water fluoridation and strongly urges that its benefits be extended to communities served by centralized water systems. Approximately 170 million people (or over two-thirds of the population) in the United States are served by public water systems that are optimally fluoridated. And that number continues to grow. In the past several years, more than 135 communities in 36 states decided to provide the benefits of fluoridation for their residents.

The ADA is not alone in its support for fluoridation. More than 100 national and international organizations recognize the public health benefit of fluoridation, including the American and Canadian Dental Associations, the U.S. Public Health Service, the American Medical Association, the American Cancer Society, the American Academy of Pediatrics and the World Health Organization. In fact, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has proclaimed fluoridation as one of 10 great public health achievements of the 20th century. The CDC, in light of the release of the ADA's "Interim Guidance on Fluoride Intake for Infants and Young Children," continues to believe community water fluoridation is safe and healthy and promotes its use for people of all ages.

For more information about fluoride and fluoridation, visit the ADA's "Fluoride and Fluoridation" Web site at <http://www.ada.org/goto/fluoride>.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, reading "James B. Bramson, D.D.S." The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "James" and last name "Bramson" clearly legible, followed by the credentials "D.D.S." in a slightly more formal script.

James B. Bramson, D.D.S.
Executive Director

(END OF EXHIBIT B)